

# THE RATE OF CANDIDEMIA AMONG PATIENTS GETTING COMPLETE PARENTERAL NOURISHMENT (TPN): A SOLITARY CENTER EXAMINATION

Asad Bin Omar<sup>1</sup>, Amir El-Hossary<sup>2</sup>

Clinical Research Unit, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia<sup>1,2</sup>.



**Abstract**— Hospitalized patients accepting All Out Parenteral Sustenance (TPN) are in danger of building up a few nosocomial contaminations, and to be specific candidemia. This investigation meant to evaluate this rate in a solitary focus in Saudi Arabia and to investigate potential hazard factors. A review survey including all patients confessed to Ruler Abdulaziz College Medical clinic in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, somewhere in the range of 2014 and 2015, and who was on TPN. One hundred and sixteen patients were incorporated into this examination. Male patients comprised (62.1%), and with a mean period of ( $55.6 \pm 18.4$ ) years. The occurrence of candidemia was (11.2%). TPN length was essentially longer in patients who tried positive for candidemia ( $26.1 \pm 21.2$  days) when contrasted with the individuals who tried negative ( $14.2 \pm 11.7$  days;  $P = 0.002$ ). As to factors; a past filled with strong organ danger, or being on corticosteroids before TPN were both fundamentally connected with being sure for candidemia ( $P=0.004$  and  $P=0.019$ , separately). Hospitalized patients accepting TPN are in danger of candidemia, particularly those on delayed TPN or those on corticosteroids. Patients on TPN ought to be overseen by a specific and devoted healthful help group.

**Keywords**— A retrospective review including all patients admitted to King Abdulaziz University Hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, between 2014 and 2015, and who were on TPN

## 1. Introduction

Candidemia is a standout amongst the most well-known nosocomial diseases overall [1–5] and is viewed as a noteworthy reason for dismalness and mortality [5–7]. In Saudi Arabia, an ongoing increment in the rate of candidemia among hospitalized patients was altogether connected with danger, admissions to the emergency unit, utilization of expansive range anti-infection agents or corticosteroid treatment, and receipt of absolute parenteral nourishment (TPN). [8–10] TPN alludes to the nourishment gave solely through an intravenous course, when different methods for encouraging are not reasonable for the patient's condition, or when different methods are not giving ideal wholesome prerequisites [11]. In spite of the numerous advantages of TPN, it has likewise been accounted for to convey a significant danger of mechanical, metabolic, and irresistible confusions [11,12] also, while TPN is perceived as a significant hazard factor of nosocomial candidemia, it is still generally utilized among hospitalized patients [13–16]. Consequently, preceding thinking about TPN, it is pivotal to completely evaluate the patient's needs and the appropriateness of TPN as per rules for the utilization of parenteral nourishment [11,12]. Restricted writing bolsters the utilization of prophylactic antifungal drugs in fundamentally sick patients, to be specific those accepting TPN; specifically, there is no reasonable definition for high-chance patients needing antifungal prophylaxis, and there is the likelihood of living being protection from these specialists [17]. In this manner, to improve patient consideration and limit the dangers of medical clinic gained candidemia, it is basic to comprehend the irresistible dangers of TPN [18]. The reason for this review study was to 1) gauge the rate of candidemia among fundamentally grown-up patients getting TPN admitted to the emergency unit at the college clinic and 2) recognize potential TPN-related hazard factors for candidemia.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This was a review audit including all hospitalized patients at the emergency clinic in Saudi Arabia who were getting TPN during their medical clinic remain during the years 2014 and 2015. Pediatric patients, grown-up patients who got TPN for under 72 hours, the individuals who got hostile to parasitic treatment before TPN commencement, and patients with previous candidemia inside about a month and a half preceding TPN inception were prohibited. The examination was affirmed by the moral and specialized panel at Ruler Abdulaziz College, and all other managerial approvals were gotten before the beginning of information accumulation. At Lord Abdulaziz College Emergency clinic, parenteral nourishment (PN) medicines are mentioned utilizing an electronic request structure, finished by individuals from the going to restorative group, who are of variable experience and competency in wholesome appraisal and proper utilization of TPN. The medical clinic's drug store intensifies the endorsed arrangement under severe aseptic method, as per universal models. Regularly, PN is regulated as a 2-in-1 dextrose-amino corrosive arrangement, with or without an intravenous soybean-based fat emulsion imbued independently. Since fringe PN is normally evaded, patients regularly get the endorsed arrangements through a halfway or incidentally embedded focal catheter (PICC) line. An information gathering sheet was created to gather important patient data including the accompanying: A) statistic information, for example, age, sex, weight, and weight file (BMI); B) clinical profile including serum egg whites level at confirmation, length of medical clinic remain, history of ICU affirmation, and occurrence of 28-day mortality. We additionally gathered information on huge ailments, for example, diabetes mellitus, dialysis, stomach medical procedures (all during a similar confirmation), immunosuppression (characterized as an outright neutrophil check  $< 2000/\text{mm}^3$  of blood), hematological malignancies, strong malignancies, liver illness, and the use of steroids and anti-toxins preceding TPN inception; C) history of TPN including date and site of TPN commencement (i.e., in ICU or emergency clinic ward), TPN length in days, vascular access for TPN organization (a PICC line or a focal line); and D) history of Candida contamination, i.e., proof of candidemia, wellspring of blood segregation (focal versus fringe), segregation of Candida in different societies (e.g., pee, respiratory beads), and the disconnected subtypes of Candida. To gather the above data, a rundown of all TPN remedies apportioned during the years 2014 and 2015 was recovered from the drug store division, with patients' therapeutic record numbers. As to data, a relating patient rundown was readied, as a team with the clinical microbiology research center utilizing the above therapeutic record number, to think about information on Candida confinement. The ID of candidemia pursued the standard convention utilized at KAUH, as pursues. Blood societies were performed utilizing a computerized blood culture framework (BacT/Alert, Organon, Teknika, USA). Five milliliters of blood was immunized into a solitary pediatric container and stacked into the blood culture framework, and it stayed there until either assigned positive or for a limit of 5 days of hatching. Tests from all containers assigned positive were Gram recolored, and those discovered positive for yeast cells were subcultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar. The yeasts were recognized utilizing VITEK MS (bioMerieux, Inc., France) around the same time of adequate development on Sabouraud dextrose agar; the distinguishing proof was affirmed utilizing the VITEK® 2 framework, and the yeasts at that point experienced antifungal-helplessness testing.

Expressive measurable investigation was performed utilizing Factual Bundle for Sociologies (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), rendition 22. All out information were displayed as checks and frequencies, while ceaseless information were exhibited as methods and standard deviations. The chi-squared and autonomous t-tests were utilized to test for contrasts between gatherings. A p-esteem  $< 0.05$  was set as measurably noteworthy.

## 3. Outcomes

One hundred and sixteen patients were incorporated into this investigation (male,  $n = 72$  [62.1%]; female,  $n = 44$  [37.9%]; mean age,  $55.6 \pm 18.4$  years; mean body weight,  $64.1 \pm 18.1$  kg; BMI,  $24.9 \pm 6.1$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> ;

mean serum egg whites,  $25.6 \pm 7.9$  g/dL). Extra qualities of the examination populace are given in Table 1. Of the 116 TPN cases, 13 patients (11.2%) were certain for candidemia. Those with candidemia experienced non-altogether longer medical clinic remains than those without candidemia ( $74.5 \pm 85.2$  versus  $51.3 \pm 73.1$ , individually,  $P = 0.159$ ). Of those with candidemia, 61.5% were admitted to the ICU, while just 43.7% of patients without candidemia had a background marked by ICU affirmation ( $P = 0.223$ ). Additionally, the greater part (53.8%) of the patients getting TPN with candidemia began TPN in the ICU, though short of what 33% (30.1%) of those without candidemia began TPN in the ICU. Neither ICU confirmation nor the beginning of TPN in the ICU was related with expanded rates of candidemia. TPN span was altogether longer in patients with candidemia ( $26.1 \pm 21.2$  days) than in those without candidemia ( $14.2 \pm 11.7$  days;  $P = 0.002$ ). Then again, the candidemia frequency in connection to TPN site demonstrated no contrast between patients with and without candidemia ( $P = 0.695$ ). The 28-day death rate for the absolute included example was 17.2% ( $N = 20$ ). Of these, three patients tried positive for candidemia, though the rest of the 17 patients tried negative ( $P = 0.554$ )

#### 4. Discussion

PN can be fittingly used for hospitalized patients who are malnourished or in danger of lack of healthy sustenance, to be specific when oral or enteral nourishment isn't doable or may not go on without serious consequences. Be that as it may, this technique for healthful help is ordinarily connected with circulatory system diseases (BSIs), basically, those identified with the utilization of a focal venous catheter. Truth be told, PN treatment has been demonstrated to be an autonomous hazard factor for focal venous catheter-related contaminations [19]. A review considers from a solitary focus in Australia revealed a BSI occurrence of 10.0/1000 PN days 20. Candidemia is of uncommon concern as a result of its high dismalness and mortality dangers, particularly if finding and organization of fitting enemy of parasitic treatment are deferred. For the above Australian associate, *Candida* was the most every now and again recognized life form, and over the top deferrals in the organization of antifungal treatment were uncovered [20].

Another observational review study surveyed the rate of and hazard factors for candidemia in 286 beneficiaries of PN in a tertiary restorative focus. In that review, 4.9% of these patients experienced new-beginning candidemia, an occurrence rate of 1.6 scenes per 1000 clinic days [17]. It was recommended that a rule coordinated PN may have would in general select all the more seriously sick patients, who were at that point at high danger of candidemia. The setting of this earlier investigation was like that of the present examination, where the choice with respect to PN arrangement depends on rules, is made by an individual from the interdisciplinary going to group, and isn't really under the supervision of a committed healthful help group. The last may clarify the generally higher rate of candidemia (11.2%) recognized among the present accomplice of patients. By and large, inclining factors for BSIs while accepting PN can be arranged into the accompanying classifications: quiet related (e.g., current clinical status or co-morbidities), catheter-related, or PN structure related. For the primary gathering of elements, expanded malady seriousness was related with expanded candidemia hazard. In an examination by Stratman et al., 83% of PN beneficiaries with candidemia were classified as "of a noteworthy or an extraordinary ailment seriousness" [17]. In spite of the fact that our examination did not record ailment seriousness scores, a noteworthy increment in the occurrence of candidemia was noted among patients with lower serum egg whites and those on corticosteroid treatment, the two of which may reflect increasingly intense and extreme infection status. In any case, admission to the ICU, another conceivable prescient indication of condition seriousness, was not related with a higher danger of candidemia. Despite what might be expected, lack of healthy sustenance is viewed as a hazard factor for focal venous catheter-related contaminations, as noted with the lower serum egg whites for candidemia-positive patients; by the by, serum egg whites is certainly not a dependable pointer of patient dietary status in intense settings [21]. Term of PN implantation is a significant hazard and perhaps an autonomous hazard

factor for catheter-related BSIs, including candidemia [14,17,22–24]. This might be somewhat because of the expanded colonization hazard related with delayed catheterization, particularly when multi-lumen catheters are embedded in pressing circumstances, without being supplanted in an auspicious way [23]. Unexpectedly, rates of colonization are generally lower when single-lumen catheters are exclusively utilized for PN [25]. Another imminent examination among non-basic patients demonstrated that being on PN for over 14 days was the main free hazard factor for creating BSIs [26]. In addition, a case-control study concentrating on nosocomial candidemia in old patients found a solid relationship between accepting PN for over 7 days with a higher candidemia hazard [22]. Predictable with this past examination, candidemia in the present investigation was related with longer PN term. Subsequently, decreasing the term of PN ought to be considered when continuing oral and additionally enteral sustaining is doable. Hyperglycemia is related to a few antagonistic results in patients getting PN, including serious sepsis [27]. In an investigation by Townell et al. [20], *Candida* was the most widely recognized pathogen disconnected from patients getting PN, and insulin mixture (a marker of supported hyperglycemia) was distinguished as a hazard factor for creating PN-related BSIs [20]. For our patients, diabetes was not related with higher dangers of candidemia; in any case, despite the fact that blood glucose levels were not recorded, patients who were on corticosteroids (a hazard factor for hyperglycemia) preceding accepting PN encountered a higher rate of candidemia. Strikingly, the most widely recognized reason for hyperglycemia is abundance dextrose imbue and overloading, which is bound to happen when PN isn't directed and is overseen by a committed dietary help group. Current rules prescribe an objective blood glucose scope of 140 or 150–180 mg/dL for the general ICU populace [28]. The last can be accomplished by constraining dextrose mixture rates in patients in danger, legitimate checking, and the suitable utilization of insulin. We recognize the restrictions of this examination, which are predominantly because of its review structure at a solitary focus. The number of patients included did not take into consideration identifying contrasts insignificant hazard factors, for example, diabetes, neutropenia, and kind of venous access, nor did it permit examination of significant results, for example, length of ICU confirmation and emergency clinic remain. Future imminent investigations are expected to more readily recognize hazard factors for candidemia in TPN beneficiaries and to comprehend the job of prophylactic treatment in high-chance patients.

## 5. Conclusion

The risk of candidemia in hospitalized patients getting TPN is noteworthy, particularly for basically sick patients, those accepting corticosteroids, Proper utilization of PN for the most limited essential term must be directed by an expert nourishment group, with exacting adherence to rules.

## 6. References

- [1] Horn DL, Fishman JA, Steinbach WJ, Anaissie EJ, Marr KA, Olyaei AJ, et al. Presentation of the PATH Alliance registry for prospective data collection and analysis of the epidemiology, therapy, and outcomes of invasive fungal infections. *DiagnMicrobiol Infect Dis* 2007;59:40714.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.06 .008
- [2] Pappas PG. Invasive candidiasis. *Infect Dis Clin* 2006;20:485–506.
- [3] Sievert DM, Ricks P, Edwards JR, Schneider A, Patel J, Srinivasan A, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009–2010. *Infect Control HospEpidemiol* 2013;34:1–4.doi.org/10.1086/668770

- [4] Yapar N. Epidemiology and risk factors for invasive candidiasis. *TherClin Risk Manag* 2014;10:95–105.
- [5] Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;39:309–17.[doi.org/10.1086/421946](https://doi.org/10.1086/421946)
- [6] Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. *ClinMicrobiol Rev* 2007;20:133–63.
- [7] Bassetti M, Treccarichi EM, Righi E, Sanguinetti M, Bisio F, Posteraro B, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and predictors of outcome of candidemia. Survey in 2 Italian university hospitals. *DiagnMicrobiol Infect Dis* 2007;58:32531.[doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.01.005)
- [8] Jiman-Fatani A, Meawed TE, El-Hossary D. Antifungal susceptibility, risk factors and treatment outcomes of patients with candidemia at a university hospital in Saudi Arabia. *Int Arabic J Antimicrob Agent* 2015;5:1–10.[doi.org/10.3823/770](https://doi.org/10.3823/770)
- [9] Al-Tawfiq JA. Distribution and epidemiology of *Candida* species causing fungemia at a Saudi Arabian hospital, 1996–2004. *Int J Infect Dis* 2007;11:239–44.[doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2006.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2006.03.003)
- [10] Al Thaqafi AH, Farahat FM, Al Harbi MI, Al Amri AF, Perfect JR. Predictors and outcomes of *Candida* bloodstream infection: eight-year surveillance, western Saudi Arabia. *Int J Infect Dis* 2014; 21:5–9.[doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2013.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2013.12.012)
- [11] Board AS. Guidelines for the use of parenteral and enteral nutrition in adult and pediatric patients. *J Parenteral Enteral Nutr* 1993;17:1SA– 52SA.[doi.org/10.1177/014860719301700401](https://doi.org/10.1177/014860719301700401)
- [12] Pomposelli JJ, Bistrrian BR. Is total parenteral nutrition immunosuppressive? *New Horiz* 1994;2:224–9.
- [13] Blumberg HM, Jarvis WR, Soucie JM, Edwards JE, Patterson JE, Pfaller MA, et al. Risk factors for candidal bloodstream infections in surgical intensive care unit patients: the NEMIS prospective multicenter study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;33:177– 86.[doi.org/10.1086/321811](https://doi.org/10.1086/321811)
- [14] Chow JK, Golan Y, Ruthazer R, Karchmer AW, Carmeli Y, Lichtenberg DA, et al. Risk factors for albicans and non-albicans candidemia in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:1993–8.[doi.org/10.1097/ccm.0b013e31816fc4cd](https://doi.org/10.1097/ccm.0b013e31816fc4cd)
- [15] Dimopoulos G, Karabinis A, Samonis G, Falagas ME. Candidemia in immunocompromised and immunocompetent critically ill patients: a prospective comparative study. *Eur J ClinMicrobiol Infect Dis* 2007;26:377–84.[doi.org/10.1007/s10096-007-0316-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-007-0316-2)
- [16] Jordà-Marcos R, Álvarez-Lerma F, Jurado M, Palomar M, Nolla-Salas J, Leon MA, et al. Risk factors for candidaemia in critically ill patients: a prospective surveillance study. *Mycoses* 2007;50:302–10.[doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2007.01366.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2007.01366.x)
- [17] Stratman RC, Martin CA, Rapp RP, Berger R, Magnuson B. Candidemia incidence in recipients of parenteral nutrition. *NutrClinPract* 2010;25:282– 9.[doi.org/10.1177/0884533610368704](https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533610368704)

- [18] Taylor BE, McClave SA, Martindale RG, Warren MM, Johnson DR, Braunschweig C, et al. Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN). *Crit Care Med* 2016;44:390–438.[doi.org/10.1177/0148607115621863](https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607115621863)
- [19] Beghetto MG, Victorino J, Teixeira L, de Azevedo MJ. Parenteral nutrition as a risk factor for central venous catheter-related infection. *J Parenteral Enteral Nutr* 2005;29:367–73.[doi.org/10.1177/0148607105029005367](https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607105029005367)
- [20] Townell N, McDougall D, Playford EG. Parenteral nutrition-associated bloodstream infection in an Australian teaching hospital—An 8-year retrospective study of over 11,000 PN-days. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2014;46:361–7.[doi.org/10.3109/00365548.2014.880185](https://doi.org/10.3109/00365548.2014.880185)
- [21] Fuhrmann K, Panamonta N, Roaten S. Malnutrition in the ICU: Current recommendations for the assessment of nutritional status and a review of the use of albumin as an indicator of malnutrition. *Southwest Respirat Crit Care Chron* 2013;1:8– 14.[doi.org/10.12746/swrccc.v1i4.89](https://doi.org/10.12746/swrccc.v1i4.89)
- [22] Luzzati R, Cavinato S, Giangreco M, Grana G, Centonze S, Deiana ML, et al. Peripheral and total parenteral nutrition as the strongest risk factors for nosocomial candidemia in elderly patients: a matched case-control study. *Mycoses* 2013;56:664– 71.[doi.org/10.1111/myc.12090](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12090)
- [23] Yilmaz G, Koksall I, Aydin K, Caylan R, Sucu N, Aksoy F. Risk factors of catheter-related bloodstream infections in parenteral nutrition catheterization. *J Parenteral Enteral Nutr* 2007;31:284–7.[doi.org/10.1177/0148607107031004284/spinbot.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607107031004284/spinbot.com)
- [24] Shah A, Madhavan T, Mihalko B, Bortell B. Duration of parenteral hyperalimentation and candidemia in severely ill hospitalized patients. *J Chemother* 1989;1:926–7.
- [25] Dimick JB, Swoboda S, Talamini MA, Pelz RK, Hendrix CW, Lipsett PA. Risk of colonization of central venous catheters: catheters for total parenteral nutrition vs other catheters. *Am J Crit Care* 2003;12:328–35.
- [26] Ocón BM, Mañas MA, Medrano NA, García GB, Gimeno OJ. Risk factors for catheter-related bloodstream infection in non-critical patients with total parenteral nutrition. *NutrHosp* 2012;28:878–83.
- [27] Cheung NW, Napier B, Zaccaria C, Fletcher JP. Hyperglycemia is associated with adverse outcomes in patients receiving total parenteral nutrition. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:236771.[doi.org/10.2337/diacare.28.10.2367](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.28.10.2367)
- [28] McMahon MM, Nystrom E, Braunschweig C, Miles J, Compher C, the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (A.S.P.E.N.) Board of Directors, et al. "A.S.P.E.N. clinical guidelines: nutrition support of adult patients with hyperglycemia." *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2013;37:23–36.[doi.org/10.1177/0148607112452001](https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607112452001)



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License.